Breast Implants Prods. Liab. Litig., 793 F. Supp. 1098, 1101 (J.P.M.L. 1992); *In re Data Gen. Corp. Antitrust Litig.*, 470 F. Supp. 855, 859 (J.P.M.L. 1979); *In re Hawaiian Hotel Room Rate Antitrust Litig.*, 438 F. Supp. 935, 936 (J.P.M.L. 1977); *In re Sugar Indus. Antitrust Litig.*, 437 F. Supp. 1204, 1208 (J.P.M.L. 1975); *In re Ampicillin Antitrust Litig.*, 315 F. Supp. 317, 319 (J.P.M.L. 1970). Judge Matz, who is currently presiding over eleven of the Unintended Acceleration Cases, including the first filed action, is eminently qualified to preside over this litigation.

Judge Matz has served the Central District of California as a federal judge for over twelve years. During that time, he has presided over some of the Central District of California's most influential and publicized cases[11] and has handled numerous cases involving complex legal and factual issues,[12] including complex product liability actions. *See, e.g., In re Silicon Gel Breast Implants Products Liability Litigation*, 318 F. Supp. 2d 879 (C.D. Cal. 2004). Moreover, Judge Matz has successfully presided over consolidated litigation pursuant to a Transfer Order of this Panel in *In re Conseco Life Ins. Co. Cost of Ins. Litig.*, 323 F. Supp. 2d 1381, 1383 (J.P.M.L. 2004). Accordingly, Judge Matz has demonstrated the "time and experience to steer this complex litigation on a prudent course." *In re Vioxx Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 360 F. Supp. 2d 1352, 1354 (J.P.M.L. 2005); *see also In re Trasylol Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 545 F. Supp. 2d 1357, 1358 (J.P.M.L. 2008) (transferring to a district "where a constituent action is pending" and "assigning this litigation to a jurist who has the experience to steer this litigation on a prudent course."); *In*

[11] *See, e.g., Bryant v. Oxxford Exp. Inc.*, 181 F. Supp. 2d 1045 (C.D. Cal. 2000) (enjoining a licensee from pursuing claim in New Jersey against NBA basketball star Kobe Bryant based on the first-to-file rule), *Burkow v. City of Los Angeles*, 119 F. Supp. 2d 1076 (C.D. 2000) (enjoining on First Amendment grounds enforcement of a city ordinance making it criminal to display for sale signs), and *Coalition of Clergy v. Bush*, 189 F. Supp. 2d 1036 (C.D. Cal. 2002), *aff'd in part and rev'd in part*, 310 F.3d 1153 (9th Cir. 2002) (dismissing lawsuit brought on behalf of detainees held in Guantanamo Bay due to lack of standing).

[12] *See, e.g., Directors Guild of America v. Harmony Pictures, Inc.*, 32 F. Supp. 2d 1184 (C.D. Cal. 1998) (holding in case of first impression that creditor's endorsement and cashing of check effectuates an accord and satisfaction that discharges debtor from further liability); and *Castaic Lake Water Agency v. Whittake Corp.*, 272 F. Supp. 2d 1053 (C.D. Cal. 2003) (holding that passive migration of a contaminant constitutes a release under CERCLA).